N.C.P.I.—MV 106.60 PROPERTY DAMAGES—ISSUE AND BURDEN OF PROOF. MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME APRIL 2017

-----

106.60 PROPERTY DAMAGES—ISSUE AND BURDEN OF PROOF.1

The (*state number*) issue reads:

"What amount is the plaintiff entitled to recover for property damages?"

If you have answered the (*state number*) issue "Yes" (and the (*state number*) issue "No") in favor of the plaintiff, the plaintiff is entitled to recover nominal damages even without proof of actual damages. Nominal damages consist of some trivial amount such as one dollar in recognition of the technical damages incurred by the plaintiff.

The plaintiff may also be entitled to recover actual damages. On this issue the burden of proof is on the plaintiff. This means that the plaintiff must prove, by the greater weight of the evidence, the amount of actual property damages proximately caused by the negligence of the defendant.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This issue covers only actual damage to the property and not damages for loss of use. Where the evidence could justify recovery for loss of use, that should be submitted as a separate and additional issue. *See*, for example, N.C.P.I.-MV 106.67.

<sup>2</sup> Care should be exercised in choosing the appropriate standard. Negligence cases require proximate cause. Intentional torts generally do not.